

Available online at scholarcommons.usf.edu/ijs

International Journal of Speleology Official Journal of Union Internationale de Spéléologie



Terrestrial LiDAR-based automated counting of swiftlet nests in the caves of Gomantong, Sabah, Borneo

Donald A. McFarlane^{1*}, Warren Roberts², Manfred Buchroithner³, Guy Van Rentergem⁴, Joyce Lundberg⁵, and Stefan Hautz³

¹Keck Science Department, The Claremont Colleges, California, USA

²Honhold Library, The Claremont Colleges, California, USA

³Institut für Kartographie, TechnischeUniversität, Dresden, Germany

⁴Koningin Astridstraat, Deinze, Belgium

⁵Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada

Abstract: High resolution terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) within the *Simud Hitam* Cave, Gomantong, has proven successful at discriminating the nests of black-nest swiftlets from roosting bats in high, inaccessible locations. TLS data were imported into ArcGIS software, allowing for semi-automated counting of nests based on resolved geometry and laser return intensity. Nest resolution and counting accuracy was better than 2%. Spatial analysis of nest locations has established a maximum packing density of 268 nests/m² in optimum locations, which correspond to roof slopes of >20 degrees. Co-occurring Rhinolophid bats roost adjacent to, but not within nest locations, preferring roof surfaces close to horizontal.

Keywords:karst; terrestrial laser scanning; geomorphology; bats; swiftlets; BorneoReceived 16 January 2015; Revised 20 February 2015; Accepted 23 February 2015

Citation: McFarlane D.A., Roberts W., Buchroithner M., Van Rentergem G., Lundberg J. and Hautz S., 2015. Terrestrial LiDAR-based automated counting of swiftlet nests in the caves of Gomantong, Sabah, Borneo. International Journal of Speleology, 42 (2), 191-195. Tampa, FL (USA) ISSN 0392-6672 http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.44.2.8

INTRODUCTION

One of the more unusual features of the caves of Borneo is their role in the edible bird's nest industry. The nests in question are produced by swiftlets of the genus Aerodramus, notably A. maximus, the Black-nest swiftlet, and A. fuciphagus, the White-nest swiftlet. These birds roost high in the roofs of a relatively small number of large caves, of which the Gomantong caves are amongst the most famous (Burder, 1961; Price, 1996; Lundberg & McFarlane, 2012; Fig. 1). The nests are constructed from a sticky salivary exudate that forms the matrix for the cup-shaped nests. The exudate is a glycoprotein (Kathan & Weeks, 1969) which has been harvested as a delicacy in Chinese cuisine since at least the 16th Century (Lim & Cranbrook, 2002). A. maximus earns its vernacular name because its



Fig. 1. Plan of the main entrance area of *Simud Hitam*, showing the "Swiftlet Slit" (circled, with arrow).

nests include a large percentage of feathers and vegetative debris; the nests of *A. fuciphagus* are formed of more-or-less pure salivary exudate, and are therefore the more valuable product. In the late 19th Century, annual nest exports from caves in Sarawak were the 6th largest source of revenue for the Government (Lim & Cranbrook, 2002).

Currently, Sabah harvests nests from 27 different caves or groups of caves, together with a growing infrastructure of artificial "swiftlet barns", and in 2009 exported 8876 kg of nests with a value of ~US\$ 4.2 million (Lim et al., 2012). Monitoring and assessment of swiftlet nesting behavior and productivity in these caves is essential to the long-term sustainability of the industry, but is difficult because of the physical inaccessibility of the sites (Francis, 1987). Conventional photography, which images portions of cave roofs from a single angle, has proven impractical for anything other than very localized (~10 m^2) assessment. Here we present a proof-of-concept study that tests the utility of terrestrial LIDAR-based scanning for larger-scale cave swiftlet monitoring through discrimination of nests from roosting bats and small scale rock features.

In recent years, the increasing availability and decreasing size of three-dimensional laser scanners, sometimes called 'terrestrial LiDAR' scanners (TLS), has generated numerous examples of their use underground. Early examples include Marais (2005), Fryer et al. (2005), and Silvestre et al. (2015). Until recently, these projects have been largely of a simple imaging nature. Current trends are towards the use of 3D laser scanning technology to address specific scientific questions, such as passage stability analyses (Lyons-Baral, 2012), and ice volume studies (Petters et al., 2011; Buchroithner et al., 2012; Milius & Petters, 2012; Berenguer Sempere et al., 2014; Burens et al., 2014). There has also been an increase in the scanning of progressively more technicallydifficult and complex caves (e.g., Buchroithner & Gaisecker, 2009; Gonzalez et al., 2009; Addison, 2011). The use of TLS as a biological inventory tool is reflected in the work of Azmy et al. (2012), who demonstrated that the technology could be used to precisely count roosting bats in a Malaysian cave, and in some cases, could discriminate between different bat species.

METHODS

In July 2012, *Simud Hitam* (the "Black Cave") was scanned using a FARO Focus 3D instrument (McFarlane et al., 2013), generally at $\frac{1}{4}$ resolution mode (= 244,000 points/second, yielding an x-y-z point cloud spacing of 12.5 ± 2 mm ranging error, at typical wall/roof distances of 20 m), with additional scans at full resolution (x-y-z point cloud spacing of 3.1 ± 2 mm at 20 m) where required for specific analyses of small targets such as bats and nests. The size and orientation of the nesting/roosting surface

(essentially horizontal with respect to the floor) required only a single scan. Data processing was done with FAROSceneLT v. 5.0.1 software (http://www.faro.com/ faro-3d-app-center/stand-alone-apps/scene-lt). Here, we present an analysis based on a full-resolution scan of the roof of an 11.04 m² roof area (x-y plane), a reentrant named "Swiftlet Slit" (Lundberg & McFarlane, 2012), in the west wall of *Simud Hitam* (Fig. 2a, b) which hosts both Black nest swiftlets and Rhinolophid bats (*Rhinolophus borneensis/creaghi*). This section of the cave was chosen for proof-of-concept, because its size, orientation and proximity to the cave entrance allowed for conventional photography, and hence the ability to check TLS point cloud data against reliable, visual discrimination of nests and bats.

Feature discrimination was achieved using a combination of geometry and laser return intensity. The spatial (x-y-z) data were read into ArcGIS (v. 9.3), together with the TLS intensity values (a function of target distance, laser incidence angle, and surface reflectivity: González et al., 2010; Balduzzi et al., 2011) for each data point. Intensity values were not distance corrected. We first examined a sub-set of the data, in which bats and nests were clearly identifiable in the spatial data set. ArcGIS Spatial Analyst was used to



Fig. 2. A) Color photograph of the Swiftlet Slit, and B) TLS point cloud depiction of the same area. Note that the point-cloud provides a true planar view, without perspective distortion. View is vertically upwards, northwest at the top of the figure.

determine 'sinks' (i.e., discrete, closed areas of negative topography), which were then "filled" (ArcGIS, Fill tool) to generate polygons, that were then filtered using threshold values of >0.000525 m² and <0.02 m² (area), determined by trial and error. This model successfully classified 100% of the bats and nests in the test area. Bats were distinguished from nests by filtering for variance in intensity (var_i bats >1500) and variance in z (var_z > 0.003 m); nests were defined as var_i <1500, var_z <0.003 m. The model structure appears in Fig. 3. Ranges and separation of intensity variances appear in Fig. 4. Finally, the model was applied to the whole data set, and nests and bats automatically tallied (Fig. 5). Further, we determined slope of the roosting and nesting areas using the ArcGis/Spatial analyst "Slope" tool.



Fig. 3. ArcGIS model structure.



Fig. 4. Frequency plot of laser return intensity variances for bats and nest targets.

RESULTS

The total area (x-y) of the "Swiftlet Slit" roof is 11.04 m², the apex of which is a bedding plane oriented approximately horizontal with respect to the floor. The model overestimated bat numbers by 15.1% relative to the manual count (apparently due to non-discrimination of small rock projections); nests were undercounted by 1.5%, relative to our photographically-based manual counting (Table 1). A total of 526 nests of the Blacknest Swiftlet (Aerodramus maxima) were present in July 2012, giving an overall nest density of 47.6 nests/ m^2 . However, nests are neither randomly distributed, nor clustered in the available roof area. Ripley's K-function analysis demonstrates a statistically significant dispersion at scales greater than ~ 0.6 m (p < 0.05). Nearest neighbor analysis shows that the nests tend to be regularly spaced at ~ 6.1 cm internest centroid distance, equivalent to a theoretical maximum density of 268 nests/ m^2 . Median slope of the nesting surface was 55.5° (minimum slope 20°). In contrast, Rhinolophid bats (N = 152) roost in an over-dispersed pattern with mean nearest-neighbor

Table 1. Effectiveness of manual versus automated counts of bats and swiftlet nests, using TLS imagery.

	Manual count of TLS imagery	Automated model count	Error (%)
Bats	152	175	15.1%
Nests	526	518	1.52%



Fig. 5. Model-resolved nests and bats in the "Swiftlet Slit". Orientation as in Fig. 2.

International Journal of Speleology, 44 (2), 191-195. Tampa, FL (USA) May 2015



Fig. 6. Profile of the "Swiftlet Slit", showing the influence of slope on preferred nesting locations of bats and birds.

distances of 13.0 cm. Their preferred roosting surfaces have slopes of median 31.4° (minimum slope 2°): i.e., they are often steep roof surfaces which allow the bats to hang freely. A profile section across the Swiftlet Slit (Fig. 6) shows the differences in slope that define bat roosting versus swiftlet nesting areas.

CONCLUSIONS

Terrestrial laser scanning at Gomantong has now imaged the entire roof (and walls and floor) of *Simud Hitam*. The size of the point-cloud dataset, in excess of 5 billion points, creates significant software processing challenges (e.g., Addison, 2011), but these can be addressed by breaking the wholecave data set into sections for separate processing in ArcGIS software.

High-resolution terrestrial laser scanning provides a potentially effective tool for assessing swiftlet nest densities on high cave roofs, even in total darkness. TLS data also permits the evaluation of areas of cave roof that are potentially suitable for nests, and therefore facilitates the calculation of upper population size limits. Our demonstration of micro-habitat segregation between rhinolophid bats and black-nest swiftlets, based on roof/wall slope, has not previously been formally described but is a promising avenue for future research. Together with further research to narrow the spatial constraints swiftlet nesting surfaces, and potentially on discriminate between white-nest and black nest swiftlet micro-habitat preferences, TLS techniques may be able to quantify the original pre-harvesting swiftlet population size.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are due to Dr. Charles Leh Moi Ung (Sarawak Museum) for his generous assistance, to Datuk Sam Mannan, Director, Sabah Forestry Department, for granting us permission to work in the Gomantong Forest Reserve, and especially to FARO International, Singapore, who kindly provided the FAROFocus3D TLS device and shipping to Sabah. Prof. Biswajeet Pradhan kindly provided assistance with the cave scanning. Field work was funded by a Global Exploration Fund grant from the National Geographic Society.

REFERENCES

- Addison A., 2011 LIDAR at Mammoth Cave. Civil Engineering Surveyor, April 2011: 22-25.
- Azmy S.N., Sah S.A., Shafie N.J., Ariffin A., Majid Z., Ismail N.A. & Shamsir S., 2012 - Counting in the dark: Non-intrusive laser scanning for population counting and identifying roosting bats. Scientific Reports, 2: 524. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep00524
- Balduzzi M.A.F., Van der Zande D., Stuckens J., Verstraeten W.W. & Coppin P., 2011 - The properties of terrestrial laser system intensity for measuring leaf geometries: A case study with Conference Pear trees (Pyrus Communis). Sensors (Basel), **11** (2): 1657-1681. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s110201657
- Berenguer Sempere F., Gómez-Lende M., Serrano E. & de Sanjosé-Blasco J.J., 2014 Orthothermographies and 3D models as potential tools in ice cave studies: the Peña Castil Ice Cave (Picos de Europa, Northern Spain). International Journal of Speleology, **43** (1): 35-43. http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.43.1.4
- Buchroithner M.F. & Gaisecker T., 2009 Terrestrial laser scanning for the visualization of a complex dome in an extreme alpine cave system. In: Photogrammetrie-Fernerkundung-Geoinformation (PFG), **4**: 329-339.
- Buchroithner M.F., Petters C., & Pradhan B., 2012
 Three-dimensional visualization of the worldclass prehistoric site of the Niah Great Cave, Borneo, Malaysia. In: Kremers H. (Ed.), Proceedings of the Digital Cultural Heritage Interdisciplinary Conference. Saint-Dié-des-Vosges, France: 2 p.
- Burder J.R.N., 1961 *The bird's nest caves at Gomantong, North Borneo*. The Malayan Nature Journal, **21**: 172-177.
- Burens A., Grussenmeyer P., Carozza L., Leveque F., Guillemin S. & Mathe V., 2014 Benefits of an accurate 3D documentation in understanding the status of the Bronze Age heritage cave "Les Fraux" (France). International Journal of Heritage in the Digital Era, 3 (1): 179-196.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1260/2047-4970.3.1.179

- Francis C.M., 1987 The management of edible birds nest caves in Sabah. Wildlife Section, Sabah Forest Department, Kota Kinabalu, 217 p.
- Fryer J.G., Chandler J.H. & El-Hakim S.F., 2005 -Recording and modelling an aboriginal cave painting: with or without laser scanning? International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, **36** (**5/W17**): 1-8.
- González-Aguilera D., Muñoz A.L., Lahoz J.G., Herrero J.S., Corchón M.S. & García E., 2009 - *Recording and* modeling Paleolithic caves through laser scanning. 2009 International Conference on Advanced Geographic Information Systems & Web Services: 19-26.

- González J.A., Riveiro-Rodríguez B., González-Aguilera D. & Rivas-Brea M.T., 2010 *Terrestrial laser scanning intensity data applied to damage detection for historical buildings.* Journal of Archaeological Science, **37 (12)**: 3037-3047. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jas.2010.06.031
- Kathan R.H. & Weeks DI., 1969 Structure studies of collocallia mucoid. I. Carbohydrate and amino acid composition. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics, 134: 572-576. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-9861(69)90319-1</u>
- Lim C.K. & Cranbrook, E., 2002 Swiftlets of Borneo. Builders of edible nests. Natural History Publications (Borneo), Kota Kinabalu, 171 p.
- Lim K.H., Khoo C.K., Laurentius N.A. & Yeo B.K., 2012 - A preliminary report on the surveillance of highly pathogenic avian influenza (H5n1) and Newcastle disease (Nd) viruses in edible bird nest swiftlet (Aerodramus fuciphagus and Aerodramus maximus). Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research, **3 (1)**: 1-5.
- Lundberg J. & McFarlane D.A., 2012 Post-speleogenetic biogenic modification of Gomantong Caves, Sabah, Borneo. Geomorphology, 157/158: 153-168.
- http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.04.043 Lyons-Baral J., 2012 - Using terrestrial LiDAR to map and evaluate hazards of Coronado Cave, Coronado National Memorial, Cochise County, AZ. Arizona Geology Magazine, Summer: 1-4.

- Marais W., 2005 New cave survey visualization methods. Position IT: 29-32.
- McFarlane D.A., Buchroithner M., Lundberg J., Petters C., Roberts W. & Van Rentergem G., 2013 - Integrated threedimensional laser scanning and autonomous drone surfacephotogrammetry at Gomantong caves, Sabah, Malaysia.
 In: Bosak P., & Filippi M. (Eds.), Proceedings of the 16th International Congress of Speleology, Brno, 2: 317-319.
- Milius J. & Petters C., 2012 Eisriesenwelt from laser scanning to photo – realistic 3D model of the biggest ice cave on Earth. In: Jekel T., Car A., Strobl J. & Griesebner G. (Eds.), *GI-Forum 2012: Geovisualization,* Society and Learning. WichmannVerlag, Heidelberg: Salzburg, Austria: 513-523.
- Petters C., Milius J., Buchroithner M.F, 2011 -Eisriesenwelt: terrestrial laser scanning and 3D visualisation of the largest ice cave on Earth. In: Proceedings of the European LiDAR Mapping Forum. Salzburg, Austria: 10 p.
- Price L., 1996 *The Gomantong caves*. The Malayan Naturalist, **49 (3)**: 22-27.
- Silvestre I., Rodrigues J.I., Figueiredo M. & Veiga-Pires C., 2015 - *High-resolution digital 3D models of Algar do Penico Chamber: limitations, challenges, and potential.* International Journal of Speleology, **44 (1)**: 25-35. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5038/1827-806X.44.1.3</u>